

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/688,913	SUZUKI, TOSHIHIDE
	Examiner Prenell P. Jones	Art Unit 2619

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Prenell P. Jones. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Applicant Representative (Mr. Harry J. Staas). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2007

**Time:** 4:20 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to Mr. Staas that the PTO office has not yet received a response to the first office action that was mailed out on May 17, 2007, and that the PTO office was requesting the status of the present Application. Mr. Staas informed Examiner that the Application is abandoned..